Group 2

1. We need to integrate paypal into the website.

questions should be ordered.

2. Make a free option for membership. How would this work? Every time a user correctly updates an elected official's information. They get a membership point. If they get ten, they can transfer that for a free month of service... It could mean that there will be thousands of people getting free membership. I'm planning on fundraising the hell out of it.

When someone updates an elected person's profile, it will create a ticket for an admin to check. These users will be required to supply a .gov website where the information can be visually checked.

- 3. I don't know if you have the ability to make a political api with all the elected officials we will have. But if you can, it would be great, because we can sell it just like Votesmart does. This isn't a priority right now though.
- 4. Do you want me to open a freshdesk account, or should I just wait?
- 5. I spoke to you two days ago about making a video debate section.

 http://www.metacdn.com/cdn-prices seems like something that I can afford for right now. I told you what I wanted to happen, but I think we may have been having a communication issue. I'll try again here. For now, only Candidates and elected officials, in the same race, can ask a video question. I'd like to be able to limit the size of the question. It should be 30-45 seconds. Well, really just 30 seconds. The questioner should be able to make a title to the question video, which will likely be the question itself. These questions should be placed in categories. Let's allow the Candidates and elected officials to be able to create the categories to which the

The answers should also be limited by length. I'm leaning towards two minutes. But the users will have the option to make a second video of up to 10 mins in length. If people watching would like a longer answer, they could click on the longer explanation.

Also, the candidates and officials should be able to post a short blurb after the video. That could include links as well. So another wysiwyg editor, but limit the characters available. Sort of like how it is now on YouTube.

Another main feature of this section, is that the questioner will have the ability to flag certain responses. In our politics, it's very common for politicians to lie or evade a question. If a person lies in their answer, the questioner can shade the background of the answer area red. And supply a reasoning and evidence of the lie. If the questioner decides that the answer didn't respond to the question, then it will be marked yellow. If the person answering the question disagrees they can make their case to the administrators. And if the admins can't come to a conclusion on who is right. It will be marked grey. If they decide that the answer didn't warrant the challenge, they will mark it green, and share the dispute publicly on the site. Next year sometime, we will change the parameters of who can ask a question.